

REPORT OF FACULTY SURVEY OF STUDENT ENGAGEMENT (FSSE) 2010

I. INTRODUCTION

The Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE) is a project coordinated by the National Survey of Student Engagement (NSSE) at Indiana University. It is an online survey designed to measure faculty expectations of student engagement in educational practices empirically linked to high levels of learning and development. The survey also collects information about how faculty members spend their time related to professional activities and the kinds of learning experiences their institution emphasizes. FSSE results can be used to identify areas of strength as well as areas warranting attention.

Many of the items parallel NSSE survey items, thereby providing an opportunity to compare faculty and student responses. These items are categorized under: academic and intellectual experiences; evaluations of student performance; mental activities; educational and personal growth; enriching educational experiences; and quality of student relationships. Within this subgroup, some items are not directly comparable because the scales are different between NSSE and FSSE.

In Spring 2010, St. John's University participated in FSSE for the second time. There were 456 respondents (315 full-time In any event, results should be used with caution. This report, prepared by the Office of Institutional Research (OIR), highlights some of the interesting findings, primarily focused on comparisons of faculty and students responses where appropriate. More detailed results covering all aspects of the survey can be obtained from OIR.

II. HIGHLIGHTS OF RESULTS

Faculty expect students about twice as much time preparing for class as students actually reported:

On the FSSE survey, faculty are asked how much time they **expect** students to spend preparing for their class and how much time they believe students **actually** spent preparing

for their course. NSSE also asks students to report how many hours they **actually** spent preparing for class. As shown below, faculty expect students to spend about twice as much time as students actually reported. Faculty's perception of how much time students spent parallels reports from first-year students, but over states it for seniors.

- Faculty expect students to spend about 5 hours per week preparing for each course, and think that students spend about 2 hours per week for lower division course and 3 hours per week for upper division courses.
- Both first-year and senior students report spend approximately 10 hours per week , averaging to about 2 hours per class.

Quality of Student Relationships

- Seniors rated the quality of their relationships with other students, faculty, administrators and staff more favorably than faculty did, with the differences for the first two categories statistically significant.
- First-year students rated the quality of their relationships with other students, administrators and staff more favorably than faculty did.

The following table shows the percentage rating each category 7 on a scale of 1 - 7: Quality of Student Relationships with:

		Stu	udents		
	Faculty	1 st Yr	Seniors		
Other students – friendly, supportive, sense of belonging	12%	19%	33%		
Faculty members – available, helpful, sympathetic	16%	11%	27%		
Administrators and Staff – helpful, considerate, flexible	10%	14%	16%		

Educational and Personal Growth

Faculty members were asked to indicate the extent to which they structure their course section so that students learn and develop in a variety of areas. The most frequent responses of *very much* or *quite a bit* for lower division courses are listed below:

- ✓ Thinking critically and analytically 91%
- ✓ Learning effectively on their own 86%

 Acquiring a broad general education 	79%
 Writing clearly and effectively 	69%
The least frequent responses are:	
✓ Developing a deepened sense of spirituality	32%
 Analyzing quantitative problems 	38%
 Working effectively with others 	41%
	470/

 \checkmark Using computing and information technology 47%

Faculty and students responses were similar on the majority of common items. Major differences are noted below and warrant closer examination and discussion:

- Almost all faculty members (90%) indicate that students receive prompt feedback (written or oral) from them on their academic performance. However, only 38% of first-year students and 58% of seniors agree.
- One-quarter of faculty indicate that their evaluations of student performance (e.g. examinations) challenge to do their best work, compared to 14% of first-year students and 19% of seniors.
- More than 70% of students and faculty agree that they place *very much* or *quite a bit* of emphasis in engaging students in analyzing, synthesizing, making judgments and applying concepts to practical problems. On the other hand, only one-third of faculty indicate this to be so for memorizing facts and ideas from the course and readings, compared to three-quarters of students.
- About one-half of faculty structure their course section so that students learn and develop using computing and information technology. A significantly higher proportion of students – 69% first-year and 77% seniors - indicate that their experience at the University has contributed to their knowledge, skills and personal development in this area.

Table 1 which follows, shows NSSE and FSSE frequencies for common items.



Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

Percentage of faculty who reported that more than half of students from their courses do the following often or very often

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	50% or Higher
Frequently ask questions in class or	FCLQUEST	LD	36%
contribute to class discussions		UD	42%
Frequently come to class without	FCLUNPRE	LD	26%
completing readings or assignments	ompleting readings or assignments		25%
Frequently work harder than they usually	FWORKHRD	LD	27%
do to meet your standards	TWORKIND	UD	38%
Occasionally use e-mail to	FEMAIL	LD	50%
communicate with you		UD	53%
Occasionally discuss grades or	FGRADE	LD	35%
assignments with you	IGNADE	UD	37%
At least once, talk about career plans	FPLANS	LD	25%
with you	TEANS	UD	30%
At least once, discuss ideas from readings or classes with you outside	FIDEAS	LD	15%
of class	HDEAS	UD	20%
Have class discussions or writing assignments that include diverse		LD	61%
perspectives (different races,	FDIVCLAS	UD	54%
religions, genders, political beliefs,		_	

FSSE-NSSE Combined Report 2010 St. John's University-New York

Student Responses

Distribution of student responses to how often they did the following at their institution during the current school year

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often	Often	Sometimes	Never
Asked questions in class or	CLQUEST	FY	29%	35%	33%	3%
contributed to class discussions	CLQOLST	SR	36%	39%	25%	0%
Come to class without completing	CLUNPREP	FY	6%	14%	54%	26%
assignments	CLUNPREP	SR	10%	13%	54%	23%
Worked harder than you thought you	WORKHARD	FY	19%	40%	32%	9%
could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations		SR	17%	41%	35%	7%
Used e-mail to communicate with an	EMAIL	FY	37%	39%	22%	2%
instructor		SR	46%	36%	17%	1%
Discussed grades or assignments with		FY	17%	35%	39%	9%
an instructor	FACGRADE	SR	22%	31%	38%	8%
Talked about career plans with a		FY	9%	25%	44%	22%
faculty member or advisor	FACPLANS	SR	20%	25%	36%	18%
Discussed ideas from your readings or		FY	7%	21%	34%	38%
classes with faculty members outside of class	FACIDEAS	SR	12%	17%	42%	29%
Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders,		FY	23%	41%	31%	5%
political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing assignments	DIVCLASS	SR	31%	35%	27%	7%

Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

Percentage of faculty who reported that more than half of students from their courses do the following often or very often

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often or Often
Work with other students on projects	FCLASSGR	LD	49%
during class	I CLASSON	UD	51%
Participate in a community-based		LD	30%
of your course	oject (e.g., service learning) as part FCOMMPRO your course		19%
Use an electronic medium (listserv, chat	FITICADE	LD	52%
group, Internet, instant messaging, etc)		UD	51%
Receive prompt written or oral feedback from you on their academic	FFEED	LD	91%
performance		UD	93%
Have serious conversations in your course with students of a different		LD	59%
race or ethnicity than their own	FDIVRSTU	UD	58%
Have serious conversations in your course with students who are very	FDIFFSTU	LD	54%
different from them in terms of their religious beliefs, political opinions, or	12	UD	52%
Prepare two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it	FREWROPA	LD	59%
in		UD	51%
Work on a paper or project that requires integrating ideas or	FINTEGRA	LD	83%
information from various sources		UD	82%
Work with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments	FOCCGRP	LD	50%
		UD	60%

Distribution of student responses to how often they did the following at their institution during the current school year

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often	Often	Sometimes	Never
Worked with other students on	CLASSGRP	FY	11%	29%	46%	14%
projects during class		SR	13%	33%	41%	13%
Participated in a community-based		FY	12%	27%	48%	13%
project (e.g., service learning) as part of a regular course	Commproj	SR	9%	16%	41%	35%
Used an electronic medium (listserv, chat	ITACADEM	FY	24%	37%	27%	12%
group, Internet, instant messaging, etc.)	ITACADEM	SR	28%	32%	31%	9%
Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty	FACFEED	FY	17%	42%	35%	6%
on your academic performance		SR	23%	42%	29%	6%
Had serious conversations with students of	DIVRSTUD	FY	35%	32%	24%	10%
a different race or ethnicity than your	DIVISIOD	SR	35%	31%	27%	8%
Had serious conversations with students who are very different from	DIFFSTU2	FY	31%	32%	26%	11%
you in terms of their religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values		SR	32%	30%	31%	7%
Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it	REWROPAP	FY	14%	30%	37%	19%
in		SR	12%	24%	39%	25%
Worked on a paper or project that required integrating ideas or	INTEGRAT	FY	29%	51%	18%	2%
information from various sources		SR	40%	36%	22%	1%
Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments	OCCGRP	FY	9%	27%	49%	15%
		SR	14%	37%	37%	129

Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often or Often
Put together ideas or concepts from different courses when completing assignments or during class discussions		LD	63%
		UD	69%
Discuss ideas or readings from class with others outside of class (other	FOOCID05	LD	66%
students, family members, coworkers, etc.)	FOOCIDUS	UD	61%
Tutor or teach other students (paid or	FTUTOR	LD	35%
voluntary)	HUIOK	UD	32%
Examine the strengths and		LD	81%
weaknesses of their views on a topic or issue	FOWNVIEW	UD	83%
Try to better understand someone		LD	82%
else's views by imagining how an issue looksfrom that person's perspective	FOTHRVW	UD	78%
Learn something that changes the	FCHNGVW	LD	95%
way they understand an issue or concept		UD	95%

Percentage of faculty who reported that their evaluations of student performance are quite challenging for students

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	<i>Quite Challenging</i>
Select the response that represents the extent to which your evaluations		LD	88%
of student performance (e.g., examinations, portfolio) challenge students in your selected course	FEXAMS	UD	88%
section to do their hest work Note: Faculty reponded to this item on a 7-point scale	(1 = Very little	to 7 = Ve	ry much).

Note: Faculty reponded to this item on a 7-point scale (1 = Very little to 7 = Very mu Responses of 5, 6, or 7 are coded as quite challenging.

Student Responses

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often	Often	Sometimes	Never
Put together ideas or concepts from different courses when completing assignments or during class	INTIDEAS	FY	17%	39%	39%	5%
discussions		SR	19%	43%	32%	6%
Discussed ideas from your readings or		FY	22%	34%	37%	7%
classes with others outside of class (students, family members, coworkers, etc.)	OOCIDEAS	SR	23%	36%	34%	8%
Tutored or taught other students	TUTOR	FY	4%	14%	32%	49%
(paid or voluntary)		TUTOK	SR	12%	12%	26%
Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a	OWNVIEW	FY	18%	36%	36%	10%
topic or issue	OWNIEW	SR	27%	32%	30%	11%
Tried to better understand someone else's		FY	25%	39%	30%	6%
views by imagining how an issue	OTHRVIEW	SR	30%	35%	30%	5%
Learned something that changed the	CHNGVIEW	FY	23%	39%	32%	6%
way you understand an issue or <u>concept</u>	CHINGVIEW	SR	29%	36%	32%	3%

Distribution of student responses to how much their examininations during the current school year challenged them to do their best work

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	<i>Quite Challenging</i>	Not as Challenging
Mark the box that best represents the extent to which your examinations	tions		74%	26%
during the current school year challenged you to do your best work		SR	70%	30%

Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

			<i>Very Much or Quite a</i>
FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Bit

Percentage of faculty who reported that they place quite a bit

or very much emphasis on the following in their courses

Student Responses

Distribution of student responses to how much their coursework during the current school
year emphasized the following

Class Very Much

Quite a Bit

Some

Very Little

Variable

Memorizing facts, ideas, or methods	FMEMORIZ	LD	23%			
from your course and readings	TWEWORIZ	UD	31%			
Analyzing the basic elements of an	FANALYZE	LD	93%			
idea, experience, or theory	TANALTZE	UD	94%			
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	FSYNTHES	LD	88%			
information, or experiences	ISTNINES	UD	91%			
Making judgments about the value of	FFVALUAT	LD	79%			
information, arguments, or methods	TEVALUAT	UD	80%			
Applying theories or concepts to	FAPPLYIN	LD	80%			
practical problems or in new situations	FAPPL I IN	UD	89%			
Percentage of faculty who structured their courses quite a bit or very much so that students learn and develop in the						

following areas

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	<i>Very Much or Quite a Bit</i>
Writing clearly and offectively		LD	74%
Writing clearly and effectively	FGNWRITE	UD	78%
Speaking clearly and offectively	FGNSPEAK	LD	63%
Speaking clearly and effectively	FUNSPEAK	UD	66%
Thinking witigally and analytically	FGNANALY	LD	95%
Thinking critically and analytically	rginanali	UD	96%

<u>/ </u>						
Memorizing facts, ideas, or methods	MEMORIZE	FY	30%	41%	23%	6%
from your course and readings	MEMONIZE	SR	27%	36%	31%	6%
Analyzing the basic elements of an	ANALYZE	FY	40%	42%	17%	1%
idea, experience, or theory		SR	40%	43%	13%	3%
Synthesizing and organizing ideas,	SYNTHESZ	FY	31%	40%	25%	4%
information, or experiences		SR	32%	41%	21%	5%
Making judgments about the value of	EVALUATE	FY	32%	42%	22%	3%
information, arguments, or methods	LVALUATE	SR	33%	40%	23%	4%
Applying theories or concepts to		FY	34%	37%	23%	6%
practical problems or in new situations	APPLYING	SR	34%	41%	21%	4%

Distribution of student responses to the extent that their college experience contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Much	Quite a Bit	Some	Very Little
	CNNAUDTE	FY	29%	37%	27%	7%
Writing clearly and effectively	GNWIRTE	SR	33%	41%	15%	10%
Creating deputy and offertively		FY	29%	36%	26%	8%
Speaking clearly and effectively	GNSPEAK	SR	37%	39%	17%	8%
Thinking witically and analytically	CHANNY	FY	33%	43%	19%	5%
Thinking critically and analytically	GNANALY	SR	41%	41%	12%	5%

NSSE Item

Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

Percentage of faculty who structured their courses quite a bit or very much so that students learn and develop in the following areas

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often or Often
		LD	44%
Analyzing quantitative problems	FGNQUANT	UD	57%
Using computing and information		LD	45%
technology	FGNCMPTS	UD	50%
Marking offectively with others	FCNOTUER	LD	61%
Working effectively with others	FGNOTHER	UD	64%
Learning effectively on their own	561110	LD	88%
	FGNINQ	UD	88%
Understanding themselves		LD	64%
Understanding themselves	FGNSELF	UD	54%
Understanding people of other racial		LD	67%
and ethnic backgrounds	FGNDIVER	UD	51%
Coluing complex real world problems	FCNDDODG	LD	61%
Solving complex real-world problems	FGNPROBS	UD	78%
Developing a personal code of values	FVALUES	LD	63%
and ethics	FVALUES	UD	62%
Developing a deepened sense of		LD	32%
spirituality	FSPIRIT	UD	27%
A subject of the second se		LD	80%
Acquiring a broad general educatic	FGNGENLE	UD	67%

Distribution of student responses to the extent that their college experience contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Often	Often	Sometimes	Never
Analyzing quantitative problems	CNOLIANT	FY	28%	41%	24%	7%
Analyzing quantitative problems	GNQUANT	SR	31%	40%	20%	9%
Using computing and information	GNCMPTS	FY	33%	36%	22%	9%
technology	GINCIVIETS	SR	37%	37%	18%	8%
Working effectively with others	GNOTHERS	FY	30%	36%	26%	8%
working effectively with others	GNOTHERS	SR	39%	35%	19%	7%
Learning offectively on your own	CNINO	FY	25%	41%	25%	9%
Learning effectively on your own	GNINQ	SR	34%	40%	19%	7%
Inderstanding yourself	GNSELF	FY	25%	36%	28%	11%
Understanding yourself	GINSELF	SR	38%	31%	20%	11%
Understanding people of other racial		FY	31%	33%	28%	8%
and ethnic backgrounds	GNDIVERS	SR	35%	35%	23%	7%
Coluing complex real world problems		FY	23%	30%	36%	10%
Solving complex real-world problems	GINFROBSV	SR	27%	36%	25%	12%
Developing a personal code of values	GNETHICS	FY	29%	34%	25%	12%
and ethics	GINETHICS	SR	33%	37%	20%	10%
Developing a deepened sense of		FY	24%	31%	26%	19%
spirituality	GNSPIRIT	SR	25%	26%	24%	25%
		FY	35%	39%	21%	4%
Acquiring a broad general education	GNGENLED	SR	40%	38%	19%	3%

Student Responses

Faculty classroom practices and student responses:

Percentage of faculty who structured their courses quite a bit or very much so that students learn and develop in the following areas

Acquiring job or work-related	FGNWORK	LD	54%
knowledge and skills	FUNVORK	UD	75%

Distribution of student responses to the extent that their college experience contributed to their knowledge, skills, and personal development in the following areas

Acquiring job or work-related	GNWORK	FY	25%	34%	29%	12%
knowledge and skills	Giwonik	SR	34%	38%	19%	9%

Importance faculty place on campus-facilitated activities and student participation:

Percentage of faculty who reported that it is important or very important that students at their institution do the following

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Important or Important
Practicum, internship, field		LD	77%
experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment	FINTERN	UD	79%
		LD	66%
Community service or volunteer work	FVOLUNTR	UD	70%
Participation in a learning community or some other formal program where	FLERNCOM	LD	51%
groups of students take two or more classes together	FLERNCOM	UD	50%
Work on a research project with a		LD	60%
faculty member outside of course or program requirements	FIMPR05	UD	54%
Earaign language coursework	FFORLANG	LD	65%
Foreign language coursework	FFORLANG	UD	57%
Study abroad	FSTUDYAB	LD	52%
Study abroad	LJIODIAR	UD	49%

Distribution of student reponses to whether they had done or plan to do the following before graduating

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Done	Plan to do	Do not plan to do	Have not decided
Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or	INTERN04	FY	9%	76%	5%	10%
clinical assignment		SR	52%	25%	16%	7%
Community service or volunteer work		FY	65%	25%	4%	6%
	VOLIVINO	SR	68%	16%	10%	6%
Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where	LRNCOM04	FY	34%	29%	14%	23%
groups of students take two or more classes together		SR	34%	10%	45%	11%
Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course or	RESRCH04	FY	8%	38%	17%	37%
program requirements		SR	18%	17%	48%	17%
Foreign language coursework	FORLNG04	FY	21%	53%	14%	13%
	. Shendor	SR	59%	7%	25%	9%
Study abroad	STDABR04	FY	6%	47%	20%	28%
	5127 5104	SR	15%	12%	55%	18%

Student Responses

Importance faculty place on campus-facilitated activities and student participation:

Percentage of faculty who reported that it is important or very important that students at their institution do the following

Distribution of student reponses to whether they had done or plan to do the following before graduating

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Important or Important
Culminating senior experience		LD	76%
(capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.)	FSENIOR	UD	77%

Class	<i>Very Important or Important</i>	NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Done	Plan to do	Do not plan to do	Have not decided
LD	76%	Culminating senior experience (capstone course, thesis, project,	SNRX04	FY	3%	43%	11%	43%
UD	77%	comprehensive exam, etc.)	SINKX04	SR	22%	24%	40%	15%

Faculty and student perceptions of the campus environment:

Percentage of faculty who reported that their institution emphasizes each of the following quite a bit or very much

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	<i>Very Much or Quite a Bit</i>
Requiring students to spend significant amounts of time studying	FENVSCHO	LD	58%
and on academic work	Littische	UD	61%
Providing students the support they need to help them succeed	FENVSUPR	LD	79%
academically	LINVSOFIC	UD	79%
Encouraging contact among students from different economic, social and	FENVDIVR	LD	77%
racial or ethnic backgrounds		UD	79%
Helping students cope with their non- academic responsibilities (work,	FENVNACA	LD	50%
family, etc.)		UD	52%
Providing students the support they	FENVSOCA	LD	58%
need to thrive socially	LIVSOCA	UD	53%

Distribution of student responses to the extent that their institution emphasizes each of the following

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Much	Quite a Bit	Some	Very Little
Spending significant amounts of time	ENVSCHOL	FY	33%	43%	20%	4%
studying and on academic work	ENVSCHOL	SR	31%	42%	23%	4%
Providing the support you need to	ENVSUPRT	FY	31%	40%	25%	4%
help you succeed academically	ENVSOPRI	SR	25%	45%	22%	8%
Encouraging contact among students		FY	33%	33%	26%	8%
from different economic, social and	ENVDIVRS					
racial or ethnic backgrounds		SR	36%	32%	21%	11%
Helping you cope with your non- academic responsibilities (work,	ENVNACAD	FY	17%	26%	29%	28%
family, etc.)	LININACAD	SR	16%	22%	37%	25%
Providing the support you need to thrive socially	ENVSOCAL	FY	19%	33%	36%	13%
	LINVJOCAL	SR	18%	30%	35%	17%

With administrative personnel and

groups

FSSE Item

offices

With other students

With faculty members

Percentage of faculty who reported that students at their

institution have positive relationships with the following

Variable

FENVSTU

FENVFAC

FENVADM

emphasizes each of the following quite a bit or very much

Faculty Responses

Faculty and student perceptions of the campus environment:

Very Much

Positive

Quality

75%

85%

73%

82%

54%

56%

Class

LD

UD

LD

UD

LD

UD

FSSE Item	Variable	Class	or Quite a Bit
Encouraging students to attend campus events and activities (special	FENVEVEN	LD	79%
speakers, cultural performances, athletic events, etc.)		UD	72%
Encouraging students to use	FENVCOMP	LD	91%
computers in their academic work	TENVCONI	UD	93%

Distribution of student responses to the extent that their institution emphasizes each of the following

Student Responses

NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Very Much	Quite a Bit	Some	Very Little
Attending campus events and activities (special speakers, cultural	FNVFVFNT	FY	36%	34%	23%	7%
performances, athletic events, etc.)		SR	36%	34%	23%	6%
Using computers in academic work	ENVCOMPT	FY	48%	37%	13%	3%
	LIVEOWFT	SR	53%	31%	13%	4%

Distribution of student ratings of the quality of their relationships with the following

groups			Positive	Neutral of
NSSE Item	Variable	Class	Quality	Negative
With other students	ENVSTU	FY	72%	28%
		SR	76%	24%
With faculty members	ENVFAC	FY	67%	33%
	ENVFAC	SR	81% 199	
With administrative personnel and offices	ENVADM	FY	60%	40%
	ENVADIVI	SR	55%	45%

Note: Faculty reponded to the items above on 7-point scales (e.g., 1 = Unfriendly, Unsupportive, Sense of Alienation to 7 = Friendly, Supportive, Sense of Belonging). Responses of 5, 6, or 7 are coded as positive quality.

Note: Students reponded to the items above on 7-point scales (e.g., 1 = Unfriendly, Unsupportive, Sense of Alienation to 7 = Friendly, Supportive, Sense of Belonging). Responses of 5, 6, or 7 are coded as positive quality and responses of 1, 2, 3, and 4

Prepared by: Office of Institutional Research (pn)