	FILED:	NEW	YORK	COUNTY	CLERK	11/29	/2017	11:56	AM
--	--------	-----	------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	----

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 386

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 11/29/2017

INDEX NO. 450460/2016

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **NEW YORK COUNTY**

LUCY BILLINGS PRESENT: J.S.C.	PART_46
Justice	
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, et al.	INDEX NO450460/2016
-V-	MOTION DATE
NORTHERN LEASING SYSTEMS, INC., et al.	MOTION SEQ. NO005
343 The following papers, numbered 1/ to 367 , were read on this motion to/for _@	ppcan as annous currae.
343 The following papers, numbered 1 to 367 , were read on this motion to/fof Notice of Motion/Order to Show Cause — Affidavits — Exhibits	No(s). 343-51
The following papers, numbered 1 to <u>367</u> , were read on this motion to/for	No(s). 343-51 No(s). 356-62, 367

Upon the foregoing papers, it is ordered that this metion is :

The court grants the motion by the New York City Bar Association to the extent of permitting the City Bar Association to appear as amicus curiae and to file its memorandum in this proceeding. The City Bar Association presents the perspective of regular users of the New York City Civil Court in New York County, whose claims bear substantial connections to New York, and who are impacted by the deluge of thousands of collection actions that respondents commence in that court annually, which bear no connection to New York. The <u>amicus</u> illustrates the defenses that defendants "would be uniformly entitled to interpose" in these collection actions where, in the vast majority of them, "default judgments have been obtained," C.P.L.R. § 5015(c), The am<u>icus</u> also and the reasons why defendants have defaulted. explains the reasons why defendants are prejudiced by the hardship to appear in person, even though they may appear for pretrial proceedings via a telephone conference. These arguments all bear on the petition's claim under C.P.L.R. § 5015(c).

ESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE	York City Civil Court in New York County, whose Claims bear substantial connections to New York, and who are impacted by the deluge of thousands of collection actions that respondents commence in that court annually, which bear no connection to New York. The <u>amicus</u> illustrates the defenses that defendants "would be uniformly entitled to interpose" in these collection actions where, in the vast majority of them, "default judgments have been obtained," C.P.L.R. § 5015(c), and the reasons why defendants are prejudiced by the hardship to explains the reasons why defendants are prejudiced by the hardship to appear in person, even though they may appear for pretrial proceedings via a telephone conference. These arguments all bear on the petition's claim under C.P.L.R. § 5015(c). The court has considered the City Bar Association's memorandum and arguments insofar as they related to the petition's claims under
MOTION/CASE IS RESPECTFULLY I FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON(S):	and arguments insofar as they related to the petition's claims and a New York General Business Law § 349 and against respondents Joseph I. Sussman, P.C., Sussman, and Babad before dismissing those claims. The court will consider the <u>amicus</u> 's memorandum and prior arguments insofar as they are based on the evidentiary record before the court when the court determines the petition.
FOR	Dated: 11/20/17 Jucy Billings, J.S.C.
	Dated: <u> 20 17</u> , J.S.C. J.S.C.
1. CH	IECK ONE:
	IECK AS APPROPRIATE:
	IECK IF APPROPRIATE: SUBMIT ORDER